Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/812,305	NEARHOOD ET AL.	NEARHOOD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Michael Cuff	3627			

	Michael Cuff	3027			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Michael Cuff.	(3)				
(2) Rodney J. Fuller.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>18 October 2006</u> .					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	·]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Oklahoma County website.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Prior art and proposed claim changes discussed</u> .					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE	e last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner's signature, if required